

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,857	10/16/2003	Andrew M. Hiles	HAM-100-A	1733	
7590 12/14/2004			EXAMINER		
IRVING M. WEINER			CHIN, PAUL T		
WEINER & BU	JRT, P.C.				
P.O. BOX 186, 635 N. US-23			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARRISVILLE, MI 48740			3652	3652	
		DATE MAIL ED: 12/14/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/686,857	HILES, ANDREW M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		PAUL T. CHIN	3652			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/04.  S. District of Tatement Office.						

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on September 19, 2004, was filed and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member to an external pulling mechanism" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,857 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

#### Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 7-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claimed language of "ATV" should be specifically recited in words to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed language of the phrases "said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member" (claim 1) and "said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member to ATV" (claims 7-12) are

Application/Control Number: 10/686,857 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

vague and indefinite as to whether applicant is positively claiming the "attachment" or as the "intended use as a handle".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1,3,5,7,9, and 11, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vickers (2,541,449).

Vickers (2,541,449) discloses a lifting device comprising: a hook member (Figs. 1 and 2) configured in a predetermined shape having a substantially tapered end portion (see Fig. 2) and a second end portion; said hook member is provided with a predetermined opening between said tapered end portion and said second end portion; a fabric strap member (4) operably connectable to said hook member so that said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member to an external pulling mechanism (2). It is pointed out that the prior art discloses all the structural limitations while the intended use (i.e. for dragging a game animal and for gaffing a fish or marine animal) is not patentably significant.

Re claims 3 and 4, Vickers (2,541,449) meets the limitations as broadly as recited in the claims (see Exhibit A).

10. Claims 1 and 7, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright (1,007,019).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,857 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Wright (1,007,019) discloses a device comprising: a hook member (3) configured in a predetermined shape having a substantially tapered end portion (see Figs. 1 and 3) and a second end portion; said hook member is provided with a predetermined opening between said tapered end portion and said second end portion; a strap member (1,2) operably connectable to said hook member so that said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member to an external pulling mechanism. It is pointed out that the prior art discloses all the structural limitations while the intended use (i.e. *for dragging a game animal and for gaffing a fish or marine animal*) is not patentably significant.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2,4,6,8,10, and 12, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vickers (2,541,449) in view of Lutz (2,307,327).

Autenrieth (937,564), as presented in section 9 above, does not show a tapered end portion having a tapered end portion. However, Lutz (2,307,327) discloses a pointed member (12) having a tapered end portion (16) which has a tapered angle between 30 to 45 degree. Accordingly, it would have been obvious design choice to those skilled in the art to provide a tapered angle between 30 to 45 degree on the tapered end portion Autenrieth (937,564) as taught by Lutz (2,307,327) so that the end portion would be easily attached.

Art Unit: 3652

13. Claims 1-20, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Autenrieth (937,564) in view of Lutz (2,307,327).

Page 6

Autenrieth (937,564) discloses a lifting device comprising: a hook member (Figs. 1-3) configured in a predetermined shape having a first end portion (5) and a second end portion (8); said hook member is provided with a predetermined opening between said first end portion (5) and said second end portion (8); a strap webbing member (1,2) operably connectable to said hook member so that said strap member serves as a handle and an attachment to connect said hook member to an external pulling mechanism. Autenrieth (937,564) does not show a first end portion having a tapered end portion. However, Lutz (2,307,327) discloses a pointed member (12) having a tapered end portion (16) which has a tapered angle between 30 to 45 degree. Accordingly, it would have been obvious design choice to those skilled in the art to provide a tapered angle between 30 to 45 degree on the first end portion (5) of Autenrieth (937,564) as taught by Lutz (2,307,327) so that the end portion would be easily attached to the strap member (2). It is pointed out that the modified Autenrieth (937,564) discloses all the structural limitations while the intended use (i.e. for dragging a game animal and for gaffing a fish or marine animal) is not patentably significant. Re claims 3 and 4, the modified Autenrieth (937,564) discloses all the structural limitations (see Exhibit B).

Re claims 13-20, the angle between the second hook portion and the third hook portion is a predetermined (see Exhibit B).

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner Art Unit 3652

10/686,857

# Exhibit A

Feb. 13, 1951 2,541,449 .A. J. VICKERS SLING FOR SMALL MOTORS Filed July 29, 1948 ത്രാ F16. 3 second handle portion

Arst handle 3rd hople FIG. 2 portion and hook

portion FIG. 1 First hook portion ARTHUR J. VICKERS
Milburn Milburn

Exhibit B

W. AUTENRIETH.

HAND GBAPPLE.

APPLICATION FILED JAN. 16, 1909

937,564.

Patented Oct. 19, 1909.

